

A PERFECT ENVIRONMENT

Chair Edward Kranick Supervisors Steve Michels Joe Woelfle Magalie Miller Terri Mahoney-Ogden Administrator Dan Green

Residential Recreational

nal Responsible

TOWN OF DELAFIELD BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING TUESDAY, AUGUST 15, 2023 6:30 PM DELAFIELD TOWN HALL – W302 N1254 MAPLE AVENUE, DELAFIELD, WI AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance
- 3. Roll Call
- 4. Citizen Comments: Public comments from citizens regarding items on, or not on the agenda. The Board may not engage in a discussion with the citizen making the comments. Individual presentations are limited to three minutes and citizens shall follow the rules set forth in Section 2.04(1)(d) of the Town Code.
- Approval of Minutes:
 a. July 25, 2023, Town Board Minutes
- 6. Action on vouchers submitted for payment:
 - a. Report on budget sub-accounts and action to amend the 2023 budget
 - b. 1) Accounts payable; 2) Payroll
- 7. Communications (for discussion and possible action)
 - a. Town's Association Annual Convention and Fall Workshops
 - b. Town Communications Update
- 8. Unfinished Business: None
- 9. New Business
 - a. Russell and Lora Wankowski, W293 N3112 Poplar Drive, Re: Consideration and possible action on the approval of a Certified Survey Map to reconfigure multiple existing lots located at W293 N3112 Poplar Drive into two lots. Tax Key Nos. DELT0764-022 and 0764-040.
 - US Cellular, by Gara Fluitt, Faulk and Foster, Re: Consideration and possible action on a request to install six new "short panel" antennas and one GPS antenna on the communication tower located at N44 W29190 Oxford Drive, Tax Key No. 0728-998-001
 - c. Discussion and possible action on the adoption Resolution 23-665, a resolution accepting the roads in the White Oak Conservancy.
- 10. Announcements and Planning items
 - a. Plan Commission Tuesday, September 5, 2023 @ 6:30 PM
 - b. Town Board Tuesday, September 12, 2023 @ 6:30 PM
 - c. Budget Workshop immediately preceding Town Board Tuesday, September 26, 2023 @ 5:30 PM
- 11. Adjournment

Taniel Lucen

Dan Green Town of Delafield Administrator/Clerk/Treasurer

PLEASE NOTE:

- ✓ It is possible that action will be taken on any of the items on the agenda and that the agenda may be discussed in any order. It is also possible that a quorum of other governmental bodies of the municipality may be in attendance at the above-stated meeting to gather information; no action will be taken by any governmental body at the abovestated meeting other than the governmental body specifically referred to above in this notice.
- Also, upon reasonable notice, efforts will be made to accommodate the needs of disabled individuals through appropriate aids and services. For additional information or to request this service, contact Town Clerk Dan Green (262) 646-2398.

TOWN OF DELAFIELD BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING JULY 25, 2023 @ 6:30 PM

Video Link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GGd7PEIWpYk

First order of business: Call to Order

Chairperson Kranick called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

Second order of business: Pledge of Allegiance

Third order of business: Roll Call

Present: Supervisor Mahoney-Ogden, Supervisor Woelfle, Supervisor Michels, and Chairperson Kranick. Also present was Administrator Dan Green.

Excused: Supervisor Miller

Fourth order of business: Citizen Comments: None

Fifth order of business:

a. Approval of the June 27, 2023, Town Board Minutes

Motion by Supervisor Michels to approve the June 27, 2023, minutes. Seconded by Supervisor Woelfle. Motion passed 4-0.

Sixth order of Business: Action on vouchers submitted for payment:

- a. Report on budget sub-accounts and action to amend 2023 budget.
- b. 1) Accounts payable; 2) Payroll

Motion by Supervisor Michels to payment of checks #66871-#66876 and checks #66879 - #66903 in the amount of \$181,783.39, and payments of checks #66904-#66906 and checks #66908-#66926 in the amount of \$131,361.27, and payrolls dated July 7, 2023, in the amount of \$16,725.54 and payrolls dated July 21, 2023, in the amount of \$17,450.80. Seconded by Supervisor Miller. Motion passed 4-0.

Seventh order of Business: Communications

- a. Wisconsin Town's Association Meeting: Wednesday, July 26 @ 6:30 PM
 Chairman Kranick stated Joe Ruth from the Town's Association Advocacy Committee will be present to talk about shared revenue and transportation aids.
- b. Newsletter and Town Communications Update

The board discussed possible updates to the website once Chairman Kranick and Administrator Green have a discussion with the website vendor on getting metrics and understand who is using the site. Dan is working on what we want to put in the 1-page insert. Supervisor Woelfle discussed using a QR code to direct people to sign up online. He also suggested a generic email account they can use to sign up. Supervisor Mahoney-Ogden called a group of municipalities to see what they do with their tax inserts. She stated the Town has their one chance to get it right. She is interested in education and the only way to do that is to get them to the website. She stated she doesn't think we need to do a newsletter, but some communities did seasonal emails. The board discussed tax mailers that could be put on people's refrigerators with information for the year. This item will remain on the agenda.

Lake Country Fire & Rescue Update
 Chairman Kranick gave an update to the board on the City of Delafield Council meeting and the Fire Board meeting.

Eighth order of Business: Unfinished Business:

Ninth order of Business: New Business:

 Discussion and possible action on a contract with Waukesha County for tax assessment and billing for 2024-2025.

Motion by Supervisor Woelfle to approve a contract with Waukesha County for tax assessment and billing for 2024-2025. Seconded by Supervisor Mahoney-Ogden. Motion passed 4-0.

b. Discussion and possible action on approving a quote from Toepfer Security for the purchase and installation of a 4-image IP Dome Camera and mount for the northern parking lot and skate park.

Chairman Kranick stated this item came up because of the recent vandalism to the skate park. There is currently a gap in our camera system that needs to be closed. Administrator Green explained the company who quoted the project installed all of the other cameras on the building. Supervisor Mahoney-Ogden suggested getting additional quotes, and to possibly tie in any virtual meeting upgrades to the Town Hall infrastructure with the camera install. Supervisor Woelfle stated he was concerning about timing if this item was not approved.

Motion by Supervisor Woelfle to approve the quote from Toepfer Security quote. Seconded by Supervisor Michels. Motion passed 3-1 with Supervisor Mahoney-Ogden opposing.

c. Supervisor Mahoney-Ogden's request for discussion on residential lighting.

Supervisor Mahoney-Ogden stated this was something she ran on and is grateful to have a chance to talk about it. She spent a number of hours retrieving some preliminary information. In terms of lighting, there is a sense that Lake Country has changed, and lights are everywhere. There are movements around the Country to monitor lighting. She stated she is glad the Town is monitoring businesses. She described the Town of Delafield as the gateway for Lake Country. She stated the Town has made mistakes, but they have an opportunity to restrict the residences. She reviewed notes, including information from Jeff Hermann from the Town of Oconomowoc. They have an ordinance that was adopted a number of years ago. They restrict lights to 11 pm, with no lights on the lake. They regulate their ordinance by a complaint basis. Oconomowoc gave a couple of years for existing homes to come into compliance. Chenequa has a long list of rules regarding light. Supervisor Mahoney-Ogden asked Sharon Lear from Genesee, who stated she is interested in what the Town decides to do.

Supervisor Woelfle asked what the ramifications are of a violation in Oconomowoc. Supervisor Mahoney-Ogden stated they receive a letter. Supervisor Michels thought restricting lighting in residential neighborhoods was a heavy-handed government restriction. He had concerns about the darkness and the time limits. He used an example of not being able to leave a light on for a child coming home late. These restrictions would be putting the Town in the middle of neighbor disputes that the State's nuisance laws already address. He stated the ultimate goal of a residential community with a low standard he is in favor of, and agrees with Supervisor Mahoney-Ogden's goal. He explained a lighting ordinance would feel like we are becoming an HOA. Rural areas are different than other denser areas. He stated there are some tweaks we can make, but had concerns about telling people they can't have a light on at a certain time.

Supervisor Woelfle stated he would love to see some places with less lights, but thinks the better option is educating residents. He was not interested in a scenario where every Monday the Administrator comes into an inbox full of lighting complaints. There should be a fine line and appropriate balance. Supervisor Michels added that perhaps the CUP for the Yacht Club may need to be changed to fit this ordinance. He also brought

up fireworks being a potential issue. Soft lighting concepts are good goals, but he was not interested in wholesale dark sky concepts.

Chairman Kranick explained it comes down to education and guidelines for new construction. We are a little different than Chenequa given our location. We are right in the middle of big cities. The Town is still not as bright, but there are some offenders that would be great to educate. The board asked that more research was done by Supervisor Mahoney-Ogden to be discussed at a later meeting.

d. Supervisor Mahoney-Ogden's request for discussion on virtual attendance of board meetings

Supervisor Mahoney-Ogden reviewed the research she did with other municipalities, some who have zoom video attendance, and some who do not. She used Lisbon and Genesee as examples of technology that has worked for their communities. Those that use zoom meetings are typically hybrid, so attendance is not required. Lisbon recommended the OWL system where the camera moves around the room, making it feel like a real meeting. Chenequa does all virtual meetings. The reason she is bringing it up is because of how hard it is to find people to do this job. People are too busy to be a board supervisor. She mentioned a resident who was interested in running for the board, but was retired, and was traveling a lot.

Supervisor Michels stated he is not interested in snowbirds serving on the Town Board. Supervisor Woelfle added that those people only reside in the Town half the year. Joe stated he does not think it is a necessity. We have 18 to 20 meetings in a year. If you are putting yourself out there to serve the community, you can make it to these meetings. If things come up, we are an accommodating board. There is a concern that if you are streaming live, but no one can see what you are doing, it opens up questions. Supervisor Michels expressed concern about audience participation. He agreed there is a discourse when we have people speaking virtually opposed to being present in the room. He stated he enjoys coming to Town Hall and communicating directly with residents. He thinks it is a good standard that people have to come to Town Hall. Supervisor Michels also did not want meetings to be like an internet forum where people can come yell at their government. He explained that it took an IT expert to run the background of a public hearing hybrid on the Thomas Farm in 2021, which we don't have the staff to do.

Chairman Kranick explained that the attorney's concern was licensing. Supervisor Mahoney-Ogden stated that Eric Larson worked with Genessee on their policy to make virtual meeting work. Supervisor Woelfle stated that the attorney may have made a recommendation to them as well, but they chose not to follow it. He reiterated is concerns of participation and transparency. He explained if any of us miss a meeting here and there, no one gets upset. He stated he does not have an appetite for exploring the concept much further. Administrator Green stated that the other communities mentioned had clerks present, as well as their administrators. The Town has an Administrator and Clerk combined position, and does not have staff to run the zoom program, take minutes, control the cameras, and give updates.

Tenth order of Business: Announcements and Planning items

- a. Plan Commission Tuesday, August 1, 2023 @ 6:30 PM
- b. Town Board Tuesday, August 15, 2023 @ 6:30 PM (Combined August 8th & August 22nd meetings)
- c. Plan Commission Tuesday, September 5, 2023 @ 6:30 PM

Eleventh order of Business: Adjournment:

Motion by Supervisor Woelfle to adjourn the Tuesday, June 27, 2023, Town Board meeting at 7:36 PM. Seconded by Supervisor Michels. Motion passed 4-0.

Respectfully submitted:

Town of Delafield Application for Plan Commission Agenda

Attachment

This attachment is intended to expound on the second intention of the Certified Survey Map, as described on the face of the application, namely "adjust[ing] the lot line in the northeast quadrant of Proposed Lot 1 which will resolve a longstanding encroachment issue." Owner/applicant owns parcel no. DELT0764040, which includes an existing house and existing garage. Owner/applicant has owned the property since 1981. The parcel immediately to the north is owned by Woodridge Estates HOA. It appears the lot is largely an outlot/conservancy for the existing subdivision. Owner/applicant's existing garage extends onto the Woodridge Estates Parcel by between 5 and 8 feet from the nearest boundary which runs west to east, and by about 7 feet from the nearest boundary which runs north to south. This issue should be well-known to the Town of Delafield. The main residence was constructed in approximately the late 1800s. The garage, by owner/applicant's estimation, was constructed in the 1930s or 1940s. Please see attached previous Plan Commission meeting minutes regarding this issue.

Owner/applicant, the developer of the northern parcel which preceded the HOA, and the Town attempted to resolve this boundary issue in the past. At the time the subdivision was developed, the owner, developer, and Town had engaged in attempts to adjust the boundary so that owner's garage would be entirely on owner's parcel. These discussions did not result in an actionable item at the time, and the subdivision development continued. The issue remains.

As owner/applicant now desires to move forward with an internal lot reconfiguration via Certified Survey Map, they would also like to use this opportunity to finish what was started several years ago and resolve this boundary issue. Owner/applicant understands the adjustment is subject to obtaining the written agreement and consent of Woodridge Estates HOA regarding this lot line adjustment. The adjustment contemplated herein meets the requirements of Town of Delafield Code Section 18.11, regarding the Town's prior review of sales or exchanges of parcels between adjoining lot owners, in that it (1) results in the same number of lots prior to and after the conveyance; (2) all resulting lots all legal and conforming; (3) existing improvements do not violate applicable open space requirements; (4) the conveyance does not create or increase a new or preexisting legal non-conformity.

ĸW

Town Board-Report for August 15, 2023

Wankowski Certified Survey Map Agenda Item No. 5. A.

Applicant:	Russ and Lora Wankowski
Project:	Lot Reconfiguration
Requested Action:	Approval of Certified Survey Map
Zoning:	R-3 (County Zoning)
Location:	W293 N3112 Poplar Drive

<u>Report</u>

The property owners are requesting approval of a Certified Survey Map (CSM) to reconfigure various lots and vacated right-of-way that were part of the Pewaukee Highlands subdivision to create two lots. The CSM also incorporates land that is located in Outlot 9 of Woodridge Estates subdivision on which improvements made by the Wankowski's have encroached. The current configuration of lots are identified under two separate tax key numbers. The westerly tax key grouping is adjacent to Poplar Drive and only contains a shed. The easterly lot contains a house which is accessed via Poplar Drive and Hill Street, but also abuts Orchard Avenue on the southeast.

The CSM creates two lots oriented in an east/west direction, with frontage on Poplar Drive. The lot sizes are 31,820 s.f. and 39,348 s.f. for Lots 1 and 2, respectively. There is a navigable waterway through the easterly portion of Lot 2 that limits the buildable area on lot 2. All lands for both lots are in the Waukesha County Shoreland and Floodland Protection jurisdiction.

I have performed a technical review of the CSM and have provide my comments to the surveyor and to Waukesha County. The only significant comment is that the land in Outlot 9 on which the Wankowski's have encroached should be transferred by deed to the Wankowski's prior to the Town recording the CSM.

Staff Recommendation:

The current configuration of lots by tax key numbers has two lots. The proposed CSM results in 2 lots. This CSM "cleans" up multiple lots lines and resolves the encroachment issue. I recommend approval of the CSM prepared by V2G Surveying, LLC., dated July 3, 2023, subject to satisfaction of all outstanding review comments from the Town enumerated in my letter to the surveyor dated July 19, 2023, Village if Hartland (extra-territorial plat review) and Waukesha County Department of Parks and Land Use prior to the Town executing the document.

Recently, I had a visit from one of the neighbors to Mr. and Mrs. Wankowski. The neighbor expressed concern about a drainage ditch along the south side of the property that needs to remain in place and maintained regularly in order to lands to the north and northwest to drain properly. Since this is new information that was unknown at the time of the Plan Commission

consideration, I am recommending that a restriction be placed on the face of the CSM for that area that would read something to the effect of: "Owner shall not place any improvements that would obstruct water flow in the drainage ditch. Owner must maintain the ditch such that water flows freely through the culvert and ditch to the navigable stream located east of this ditch." I have shown the location of the drainage ditch on the attached drawing.

In a related matter, when I was in the field looking at the drainage ditch, another neighbor spoke to me about the use of the private road (Poplar Drive) for construction access. Poplar Drive will be the only way to get to new Lot No. 2. That neighbor requested that a note be placed on the CSM that states, "Any damage to the Poplar Drive during improvement construction shall be repaired to the satisfaction of the property owners on Poplar Drive."

Tim Barbeau, Town Engineer August 9, 2023

PRELIMINARY

CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP NO.

PART OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 11 AND LOT "A", LOTS 23, 24, 25 AND 26 IN PEWAUKEE HIGHLANDS, BEING A SUBDIVISION IN PART OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 11 AND THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 14, TOWN 7 NORTH, RANGE 18 EAST, ALL IN THE TOWN OF DELAFIELD, WAUKESHA COUNTY, WISCONSIN. TOGETHER WITH INTEREST IN VACATED ORCHARD AVENUE. TOGETHER WITH INTEREST IN VACATED HILL STREET.





PART OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 11 AND LOT "A", LOTS 23, 24, 25 AND 26 IN PEWAUKEE HIGHLANDS, BEING A SUBDIVISION IN PART OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 11 AND THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 14, TOWN 7 NORTH, RANGE 18 EAST, ALL IN THE TOWN OF DELAFIELD, WAUKESHA COUNTY, WISCONSIN. TOGETHER WITH INTEREST IN VACATED ORCHARD AVENUE. TOGETHER WITH INTEREST IN VACATED HILL STREET.

SURVEYORS CERTIFICATE:

I, MICHAEL A. GREESON, PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE SURVEYED, DIVIDED AND MAPPED THE FOLLOWING LAND BOUNDED AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

PART OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 11 AND LOT "A", LOTS 23, 24, 25 AND 26 IN PEWAUKEE HIGHLANDS. BEING A SUBDIVISION IN PART OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 11 AND THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 14, TOWN 7 NORTH, RANGE 18 EAST, ALL IN THE TOWN OF DELAFIELD, WAUKESHA COUNTY, WISCONSIN. TOGETHER WITH A NON - EXCLUSIVE RIGHT OF WAY IN COMMON WITH OTHERS OVER LAKE LANE. TOGETHER WITH INTEREST IN VACATED ORCHARD AVENUE. TOGETHER WITH INTEREST IN VACATED HILL STREET. COMMENCING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF THE NORTHEAST 1/2 OF SECTION 14, TOWNSHIP 7 NORTH, RANGE 18 EAST; THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID NORTHEAST 1/4, 539.41' TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE N04°53'44"W, 127.96' TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 26, PEWAUKEE HIGHLANDS SUBDIVISION; THENCE N81°50'41"E ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF HILL STREET AS PLATTED, 89.73' TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 26, SAID POINT BEING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF VACATED HILL STREET; THENCE N04°56'49"W, 30.05' TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF HILL STREET; THENCE N81°50'41"E ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF VACATED HILL STREET, 17.25'; THENCE N08°09'19"W, 15.15' TO A POINT; THENCE N66°24'27"E, 71.70' TO A POINT; THENCE N81°50'41"E, 61.98' TO A POINT; THENCE S04°39'55"E, 257.80'TO A POINT ON THE NORTHERLY LINE OF ORCHARD AVENUE; THENCE S74°46'52"W ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE, 45.88' TO A POINT; THENCE S05°11'15"E, 50.47' TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT B, PEWAUKEE HIGHLANDS SUBDIVISION; THENCE S81°52'43"W, 100.14' TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF ORCHARD AVENUE; THENCE N04°56'49"W, 25.16' TO A POINT ON THE CENTERLINE OF VACATED ORCHARD AVENUE; THENCE S81°30'10"W, 89.96' TO A POINT ON THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY FOR POPLAR DRIVE; THENCE N04°53'44"W, 96.89' TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. DESCRIBED LANDS HAVING AN AREA OF 62,169.59 SQUARE FEET OR 1.43 ACRES MORE OR LESS.

THAT I HAVE MADE SAID SURVEY BY THE DIRECTION OF RUSSELL A WANKOWSKI AND LORA L WANKOWSKI.

THAT SUCH MAP IS A CORRECT REPRESENTATION OF THE EXTERIOR BOUNDARIES OF THE LAND SURVEYED AND THE DIVISION THEREOF.

THAT I HAVE FULLY COMPLIED WITH PROVISIONS OF S. 236.34 OF THE WISCONSIN STATUES AND THE SUBDIVISION CONTROL ORDINANCE OF WAUKESHA COUNTY AND THE TOWN OF DELAFIELD, CITY OF DELAFIELD (EXTRATERRITORIAL) AND VILLAGE OF HARTLAND (EXTRATERRITORIAL) IN SURVEYING AND MAPPING THE SAME.

DATED THIS	DAY OF	, 2023.
2501	JUL	K SUUNS X
MICHAELA.Cortes	Greesoy,70	MICHAEL A
		GREESON S-2770
		EAST TROY
OWNERS CERT	FICATE:	SURVE
		WANKOWSKI WE LEDERY CEDTIEV THAT I

AS OWNERS RUSSELL A. AND LORA L. WANKOWSKI WE HEREBY CERTIFY THAT WE CAUSED SAID LANDS TO BE SURVEYED AND MAPPED AS SHOWN ON THIS MAP. WE ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS CSM IS REQUIRED TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE FOLLOWING FOR APPROVAL: WAUKESHA COUNTY, TOWN OF DELAFIELD, CITY OF DELAFIELD (EXTRATERRITORIAL) AND THE VILLAGE OF HARTLAND (EXTRATERRITORIAL).

WITNESS THE HAND AND SEAL OF SAID OWNWERS:

THIS_____ DAY OF _____, 2023.

RUSSELL A. WANKOWSKI, OWNER

LORA L. WANKOWSKI, OWNER

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
) SS.
	COLINITY

PERSONALLY CAME BEFORE ME THIS ____ DAY OF ______, 2023, THE ABOVE NAMED RUSSELL A. AND LORA L. WANKOWSKI, TO ME KNOWN TO BE THE PERSONS WHO EXECUTED THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT AND ACKNOWLEDGED THE SAME.

NOTARY SIGNATURE

PRINT NAME

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES _____



INSTRUMENT DRAFTED BY MICHAEL A. GREESON

PRELIMINARY CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP NO.

PART OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 11 AND LOT "A", LOTS 23, 24, 25 AND 26 IN PEWAUKEE HIGHLANDS, BEING A SUBDIVISION IN PART OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 11 AND THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 14, TOWN 7 NORTH, RANGE 18 EAST, ALL IN THE TOWN OF DELAFIELD, WAUKESHA COUNTY, WISCONSIN. TOGETHER WITH INTEREST IN VACATED ORCHARD AVENUE. TOGETHER WITH INTEREST IN VACATED HILL STREET.

TOWN OF DELAFIELD PLAN COMMISSION APPROVAL CERTIFICATE: APPROVED BY THE PLAN COMMISSION OF THE TOWN OF DELAFIELD, THIS DAY OF . 2023.

EDWARD KRANICK - CHAIRPERSON

DAN GREEN - CLERK/TREASURER

TOWN OF DELAFIELD BOARD APPROVAL CERTIFICATE: APPROVED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF DELAFIELD, THIS __ DAY OF ____

RON TROY - CHAIRPERSON

DAN GREEN - CLERK/TREASURER

. 2023.

CITY OF DELAFIELD PLAN COMMISSION APPROVAL CERTIFICATE (EXTRATERRITORIAL): , 2023.

APPROVED BY THE CITY OF DELAFIELD PLAN COMMISSION, THIS ____ DAY OF

EDWARD KRANICK - CHAIRPERSON

MOLLY SCHNEIDER - CITY CLERK

VILLAGE OF HARTLAND PLAN COMMISSION APPROVAL CERTIFICATE (EXTRATERRITORIAL): APPROVED BY THE VILLAGE OF HARTLAND PLAN COMMISSION, THIS DAY OF , 2023.

JEFFERY PFANNERSTILL - CHAIRPERSON

MOLLY SCHNEIDER - CITY CLERK

WAUKESHA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND LAND USE:

THE ABOVE. WHICH HAS BEEN FILED FOR APPROVAL AS REQUIRED BY CHAPTER 236 OF THE WISCONSIN STATE STATUES, IS HEREBY APPROVED ON THIS _____ DAY OF _____ _, 2023.

DALE R. SHAVER, DIRECTOR





INSTRUMENT DRAFTED BY MICHAEL A. GREESON

SHEET 3 OF 3 DRAFT DATE: 07/25/2023 V2G JOB NO.: 2023-081



TOWN OF DELAFIELD APPLICATION FOR PLAN COMMISSION AGENDA

Plan Commission meetings are typically held the first Tuesday of every month. All applications must be submitted at least 3 weeks before a Plan Commission meeting to make the agenda. Any late submittals will be considered at the following meeting.

(PLEASE PRINT)

Owner Infor	mation		Applicant			
Name:			Name:			
Russell A Wankowski &	Lora L V	Vankowski	Russell A Wankows	ski & Lora	L Wankow	ski
Address			Address			
W293N3112 Popla		W293N3112 Poplar Dr				
City	State	Zip	City	State	Zip	
Pewaukee	WI	53072	Pewaukee	WI	53072	
Telephone Number			Telephone Number			
262-719-0708			262-719-0708			
Email:			Email:			
beastud10@yah	m	beastud10@yahoo.com				

APPLICATION TYPE AND FEE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

*Application fees are non-refundable and document recording, however, a virtue of contracted plan review servi	. Fees cover cost pplicants agree to ces including but	s associated with public notificatio pay all additional expenses that the not limited to: legal, surveying and	n, postage, copies, ne Town may incur by engineering costs.
Site Plan	\$150.00	Home Occupation	\$50.00
Site Grading Plan	\$50.00	Zoning Amendment	\$300.00
Lighting Plan	\$50.00	Land Use Amendment	\$300.00
Signage Plan	\$75.00 🗖	Conditional Use	\$225.00
Preliminary Plat	\$300.00	Plan of Operation	\$150.00
Final Plat	\$150.00	Planned unit Development	\$225.00
Certified Survey Map	\$250.00	Conceptual Plan Review	\$50.00
Developer's Agreement	\$100.00 🗖	Other	\$50.00 minimum

PROJECT NAME:	Wankowski 2-Lot Certified S	urvey Map	
Property Address:	W293N3112 Poplar Drive, Pewau	ıkee, WI 53072	
Tax ID/Parcel ID:	DELT0764040 & DELT0764022	Lot Size: 62,169.589 S.F.	
Current Zoning:	R-3 (Waukesha County Shoreland & Floodland)	Proposed Zoning (if applicable)n/a
Present Use:	Residential	Intended Use (if applicable):	n/a

A complete application along with the appropriate fees shall be submitted by the deadline outlined at the top of the application. In order for an application to be considered complete, the application shall include the required number of site plans/maps, and all of the necessary supporting information as indicated on the project review checklist. If applying for a conditional use or development agreement, a document showing vested interest in the property is required. The Town of Delafield reserves the right not to accept an application that is deemed incomplete.



TOWN OF DELAFIELD PLAN COMMISSION APPLICATION

Project Description

Please answer the questions below that pertain to your request. If necessary, please attach a separate sheet.

PETITION FOR REZONING

In the space below, please describe the purpose of the rezoning.

PETITION FOR LAND USE AMENDIA

In the space below, please describe the purpose of the Land Use Amendment.

PETITION FOR CONDITIONAL USE

In the space below, please describe the purpose of the Conditional Use.

PETITION FOR CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP / PRELIMINARY PLAT / FINAL PL

In the space below, please describe the intention of the land division.

The owner/applicant owns the two current underlying parcels. The purpose of the Certified Survey Map is two-fold: (1) to reconfigure the internal boundaries of the existing lots such that they result in two separate buildable lots; and (2) to, with the consent of the adjoining property owner Woodridge Estates HOA adjust the lot line in the northeast quadrant of Proposed Lot 1 which will resolve a longstanding encroachment issue.

PETITION FOR SITE PLAN / PLAN OF OPERATION / OTHER APPLICATION

In the space below, please describe the intention for the site plan, plan of operation, or other application.



Required Forms for Submittal

Required Forms Checklist:

- ✓ Legal Description (all applications)
- Professional Staff/Fees Chargeback Acknowledgement (all applications)
- Certification for Division of Land (Certified Survey Map land splits)

Submittal Information:

- ✓ One (1) copy of this application (signed & dated)
- ✓ One (1) electronic copy of all supporting materials, i.e., drawings, plans and written documentation (via email to dgreen@townofdelafield.org).
- ✓ Two (2) full size hard copies of all supporting materials, i.e., drawings, plans and written documentation of plans 11"x17" and smaller.
- Seven (7) copies of supporting materials larger than 11"x17".

I understand that this form shall be on file in the office of the Town Admnistrator by 4:00 p.m. on the 21st day before the meeting on which I desire to be heard or as required in the Land Division or Zoning Ordinance, whicever is longer. Plan Commission meetings are held the first Tuesday of each month. Furthermore, I understand that any engineering or legal review fees associated with this project may be charged to me.

FAILURE TO PROVIDE ALL REQUIRED MATERIALS AND INFORMATION CAN RESULT IN THIS APPLICATION BEING WITHDRAWN FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE PLAN COMMISSION.

DocuSigned by: Wants Russ RU

7/10/2023

Signature of Owner

Date

Russell A Wankowski

Print Name

For Office Use Only

Application Received	Amount Received	
Date Received	Received by	
PC Meeting Date	Board Meeting Date	
Public Hearing Date		
Publication Date (if required)		



TOWN OF DELAFIELD

PROFESSIONAL STAFF FEES CHARGEBACK ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

PLEASE BE ADVISED

That pursuant to the Town of Delafield Code of Ordinances, the Town of Delafield Town Board has determined that whenever the services of the Town Attorney, Town Engineer or any of the other Town's professional staff results in a charge to the Town for that professional's time and services, and such service is not a service supplied to the Town as a whole, the Town Clerk shall charge that service and the fees incurred by the Town to the owner of the property. Also be advised that pursuant to the Town of Delafield Code of Ordinances certain other fees, costs and charges are the responsibility of the property owner.

I, the undersigned, have been advised that, pursuant to the Town of Delafield Code of Ordinances, if the Town Attorney, Town Engineer or any other Town professional provides services to the Town as a result of my activities, whether at my request or at the request of the Town, I shall be responsible for the fees incurred by the Town. Also, I have been advised that pursuant to the Town of Delafield Code of Ordinances, certain other fees, costs and charges are my responsibility.

DocuSigned by: RU

7/10/2023

Signature of Owner

Date

Russell A. Wankowski

Owner's name (please print)

Form received by: _____

Date: _____

kat: H:\Delafield-T\Forms\Professional Fees Chargeback.docx



		CONS	ULTAN	NT:	
		c	62 PRAIF 60 8 WW	4 WA A WA RIE D 18.64 108.64 ww.ed	Engineers, Inc. TER STREET USAC, WI S3578 4,1449 VOICE 44,1549 FAX Igeconsult.com
	-		8410 C	U.S. W. BI SI	CELIULAR RYN MAWR AVE. JITE 700 IGO, IL 60631
		ENGI	PROTY	SEAU MINIS	CONSTANT
NO.:	SHEET INDEX SHEET TITLE	SE UN OT NO	T WAS DER M HER T TED IN HAT I PROFE UNDE STA	AM A R THE R THE R TH	EPARED BY ME OR RECT SUPERVISION THE EXCEPTIONS SHEET INDEX, AND DULY LICENSED DNAL ENGINEER E LAWS OF THE F WISCONSIN.
G-001	TITLE SHEET				
C-101	SITE PLAN				
C-102	ENLARGED SITE PLAN				
C-501	GPS DETAILS				
A-101	SHELTER INTERIOR PLAN				
T-001	EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS				-
T-201	SITE ELEVATION				
T-301	ANTENNA CONFIGURATION @ 189.0'		ļ		6 N
T-302	ANTENNA CONFIGURATION @ 136.0'				40
T-501	INSTALLATION DETAILS				128 12(
T-502	CABLE DETAILS			5	Š Š
T-503	CABLE BANDING DETAILS			Ш	
V-501	CABLE ROUTING				EŽ
P-501	PLUMBING DIAGRAM				SS
E-501	GROUNDING DETAILS				W
-					
				_	
		SUBN	DAT	E:	DESCRIPTION:
		JSM	05/23	/23	REV. A
		JSM	06/07	/23	REV. B
		JSM	06/20)/23	REV. 0
		<i></i>			
		BY	KED	PC	M
		PLOT DATE		6/2	0/2023
		PRO. NUM	IECT BER	346	398
		SET TYPE		FIN	IAL
C Dil ENG	ONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL PLANS AND EXISTING VENSIONS/CONDITIONS ON SITE. IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY INEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES PRIOR TO PERFORMING	SHEE	T		G-001
ENC	ANY WORK OR BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SAME	NUM	JCR		



			Consultant: Consulting 624 WA PRAIRIE 0 608.64 008.64 www.ed	dge Engineere, Inc. TER STREET U SAC, WI 53578 1,1449 VOICE 44,1549 FAX geconsult.com
	4. 45.4	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	U.S. 8410 W. BI SI CHICA	CELIULAR XYN MAWR AVE. JITE 700 GO, IL 60631
		EN	STET THE	ROLF CONFIGNE
			HEREBY CERT SET WAS PRE JNDER MY DIE OTHER THAN NOTED IN THE THAT I AM A PROFESSIG UNDER TH STATE O	IFY THAT THIS PLAN PARED BY ME OR RECT SUPERVISION THE EXCEPTIONS SHEET INDEX, AND DULY LICENSED DNAL ENGINEER E LAWS OF THE F WISCONSIN.
WIND CIR.		· The	SITE PLAN	DELAFIELD (784310) PEWAUKEE, WISCONSIN
NE		U2 TM I3L I3L	BMITTAL: T. DATE: M 05/23/23 M 06/07/23	DESCRIPTION: REV. A REV. B
AL	all a start	JSI	M 06/20/23	REV. 0
B	MA S	JSI CH BY PL	HECKED PC	REV. 0















EXISTING ANTENNA LAYOUT

SCALE: 11" x 17" - 1/4" = 1'-0" 22" x 34" - 1/2" = 1'-0"

A

ALL ANTENNA AZIMUTHS TO BE FROM TRUE NORTH. **PROPOSED ANTENNA LAYOUT** В SCALE: 11" x 17" - 1/4" = 1'-0" 22" x 34" - 1/2" = 1'-0"

Surge

rotect

Qty.

Shared

Shared

Shared

B71/B12

Radio

RU4449

Radio Qty.

-G5

dj



C EDGE CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC.

T-301

FINAL

SET TYPE

SHEET

UMBE

				/		,				. Marked Marker	EXISTIN TO BE MODEF	G ANTI ADJUS RNIZAT	ENNA AZIMUT TED TO MATC ION RF DESIG	HS H	NC	RTH					Ŕ
			A1)		33.004		MO	JNT AZ 31°	IMUTH		1,000	nananan	WWWWWWWWWWWW						Ę	\sim	A1)
))	A2				— EXI (TY	ISTING VA P. OF 1 PE	CANT I ER SEC	MAST PIPE CTOR)	<u>-</u>							4) Ø
	SE	EXISTING ANTENNA CTOR FRAME (TYP.)						A3)		4MS/	33.05							S	EXISTING ANTENNA		Ŕ
				e o						A4)											
		GUYED TOWER —							Ē	EXISTIN FO REN	NG CDMA //AIN (TYP	PANEL OF 2)	ANTENNA						GUYED TOV	VER —	4
		TOWER SAFETY CLIMB		/															TOWER SAFETY CLIME	3	Ŋ
	EXIST (TYF	ING STABILIZER ARM P. OF 2 PER SECTOR)							BD	L	B1							EXIS (T	STING STABILIZER ARM YP. OF 2 PER SECTOR)		-
ANTE	EX ENNA TO F	SISTING LTE PANEL	B4 154°	MSIJE	(B3)		МО	UNT A2 163	zimuth	COMA	1580	nsilite						ļ	EXISTING LTE PANEL INTENNA (TYP. OF 4)	H	
				`												Ant	tenna _			Antenna	RA
Ante Posi	ition Techno	ology Antenna Model	Antenna Quantity	RAD Center	Azimuth	Surge Protector Otv	B71/B12 Radio	Radio Qty.	B2/B4 Radio	Radio Qty.	B5 Radio	Radio Qty.	Cable Type	Cable Qty.		Po	sition lec	S/LTE	Amphenol TW/N6510LU000G-T	Quantity	Cer
A	1 PCS/	LTE Amphenol TWIN6510LU000G-T	1	136'	35°	1	RRU4449	1	RRU8843	1	-	-	1-1/4" Hybrid	1			A2 C	DMA	Antel BXA-70063-8CF-EDIN	1	13
A	2 CDN	Antel BXA-70063-8CF-EDIN	1	136'	33°	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-5/8" Coax	2			C-	BAND	Integrated Antenna/Radio	-	138
A	.3 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			M 3	DoD	Integrated Antenna/Radio	-	133
A	4 AWS	LTE Amphenol TWIN6510LU000G-T	1	136'	33°	Shared	-	-	Shared	-	RRU11	1	Shared	-		/	A4	-	-	-	-
В	1 PCS/	LTE Amphenol TWIN6510LU000G-T	1	136'	158°	1	RRU4449	1	RRU8843	1	-	-	1-1/4" Hybrid	1			A5 AV	VS/LTE	Amphenol TWIN6510LU000G-T	1	13
B	2 CDN	Antel BXA-70063-8CF-EDIN	1	136'	154°	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-5/8" Coax	2			вт РС B2 С	DMA	Ampnenoi I VVIN6510LU000G-T	1	13
B	- 33	-	ω.	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		. ⊢'		BAND	Integrated Antenna/Radio	-	138

	NOT	ES:
	1.	ALL ANTENNA AZIMUTHS TO BE FROM T
1		PROPOSED ANTENNA
(SCALE: 11" x 17" - 1/4" = 1'-0" 22" x 34" - 1/2" = 1'-0"

ം

(B4)

Surge Protector Qty.

1

Shared

Shared

Shared

1

Shared

Shared

Shared 2

Azimuth

35°

33°

B71/B12 Radio Radio Qty.

RRU4449

-

-

RRU4449

.

(B5)

nna itity RAD Center

136'

136'

Black Text = Existing	Red Text = Propo

EXISTING ANTENNA AZIMUTHS TO BE ADJUSTED TO MATCH MODERNIZATION RF DESIGN

30° 138.5' 133.5' 30° 136' 33° 136' 150° 136' 154° 150° 138.5' В3 BET -150° DoD Integrated Antenna/Radio 133.5' 6 В4 -AX110-SS Amphenol TWIN6510LU000G-T B5 AWS/LTE 1 136' 154° Total: 6 osed

B

Total:

NORTH

EXISTING ANTENNA LAYOUT

SCALE: 11" x 17" - 1/4" = 1'-0" 22" x 34" - 1/2" = 1'-0"

1 136'

6

154° Shared

2

ALL ANTENNA AZIMUTHS TO BE FROM TRUE NORTH.

RRU11 1

2

Shared

Shared

-

2

- |

2

NOTES:

A

B4 AWS/LTE Amphenol TWIN6510LU000G-T

Black Text = Existing Green Text = To Be Rotated

C EDGE COM	SULTING ENGINEERS, INC.

T-302

SHEET NUMBER

LAYOUT

TRUE NORTH.

AX110-SS - (C-BAND) - DoD

B2/B4 Radio	Radio Qty.	B5 Radio	Radio Qty.	C-Band & DoD Radio	Filter Qty.	Cable Type	Cable Qty.
RRU8843	1	-	-	-	-	1-1/4" Hybrid	1
-	-	-	-	-	-	1-5/8" Coax	2
-	-	-	-	AIR6449	1	Shared	-
-	-	-	-	AIR6419	1	Shared	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shared	-	RRU11	1	-	-	Shared	-
RRU8843	1	-	-	-	-	1-1/4" Hybrid	1
-	-	-	-		-	1-5/8" Coax	2
-	-	-	-	AIR6449	1	Shared	-
-	-	-	-	AIR6419	1	Shared	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shared	-	RRU11	1	-	-	Shared	-
	2		2		4		6

MOUNT AZIMUTH 163°







MOUNT AZIMUTH 31°	
1	
EXISTING (TYP. OF 1	VACANT MAST PIPE PER SECTOR)
(A4)	AW5017 33.

PROPOSED (1) C-BAND & (1) DoD INTEGRATED ANTENNA/RADIO ON NEW 2-1/2" SCH. 40 (2-7/8" O.D.) - x 10' LONG GALV. MAST PIPE AND MOUNTING HARDWARE (TYP. OF EACH SECTOR); SEE T-001 FOR DETAILS

Consulting Engineers, Inc. 624 WATER STREET PRARTE DU SAC, WI 85578 608.644,1549 FAX 088.644,1549 FAX www.edgeconsult.com				
	8410 (US. CELLULAR W. BRYN MAWR AVE. SUITE 700 SHICAGO, IL 60631		
ENGI	SER	SEAL:		
I HEI SE UNI NO	REBY T WA DER M THER TED IN THAT I PROF UNDE STA	CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN S PREPARED BY ME OR MY DIRECT SUPERVISION THAN THE EXCEPTIONS N THE SHEET INDEX. AND AM A DULY LICENSED ESSIONAL ENGINEER RT THE LAWS OF THE XTE OF WISCONSIN.		
SUBM	пта	ANTENNA CONFIGURATION @ 136 DELAFIELD (784310) PEWAUKEE, WISCONSIN		
INT. JSM	DA 05/2	TE: DESCRIPTION: 3/23 REV.A		
JSM	06/2	0/23 REV. 0	_	
			_	
CHEC BY	CKED	PCM		
PLOT DATE		6/20/2023		
PRO. NUM	JECT BER	34698		
	SET TYPE FINAL			

ONSULTANT

Edge







)0\34698\Design\CAD\CD\Plot\T-50;







D

Е

(1) 1-1/4"

(1) 1.75"

SNAP-INS

SNAP-INS

U.S. CELLULAR

DISH

HYBRID

HYBRID

187.5'

161'





PREPARED FOR:



STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS REPORT

250 FT GUYED TOWER C-BAND & DoD INSTALLATION DELAFIELD (784310) DELAFIELD, WISCONSIN

EDGE PROJECT NUMBER: 34698

JUNE 6, 2023



Consulting Engineers, Inc.

624 Water Street Prairie du Sac, Wisconsin 53578 608.644.1449 Phone 608.644.1549 Fax www.edgeconsult.com

Reliable Comprehensive Exceeding Expectations

STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS REPORT

Project Information:	Delafield Delafield, WI 43.09659, -88.32682
Client/Tower Owner:	U.S. Cellular 8410 W. Bryn Mawr Ave., Suite 700 Chicago, IL 60631 Contact: Randy Mattson
Client Project Number:	784310
Consultant:	Edge Consulting Engineers 624 Water Street Prairie du Sac, WI 53578 Contact: Paul C. Molitor Phone: (608) 644-1449

Edge Project Number:

34698

Date:

June 6, 2023

Tyler A. Clausen, E.I.T. Project Engineer



Kevin T. Scharenbroch, P.E. Professional Engineer <u>6/6/23</u> Date

<u>6/6/23</u> Date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECT	ION 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
SECT	ION 2 INTRODUCTION	2
2.1	PURPOSE OF REPORT	2
2.2	SCOPE OF SERVICES	2
SECT	ION 3 ANALYSIS	3
3.1	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	3
3.2	LOADING CONDITION	3
3.3	ANALYSIS CRITERIA	5
3.4	ANALYSIS METHOD	5
3.5	ASSUMPTIONS	5
SECT	ION 4 RESULTS	6
4.1	TOWER STRUCTURE	6
4.2	TOWER FOUNDATIONS	7
4.3	RECOMMENDATIONS	7
SECT	ION 5 LIMITATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS	8

FIGURES

Figure 1: Feedline Placement Diagram

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Structural Calculations

SECTION 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Site Name:	Delafield
Site Location:	Delafield, Wisconsin
Tower Type:	250 ft. Guyed Tower

A structural analysis for the above-described tower pursuant to the ANSI/TIA-222-G standard (TIA-222) was completed. One loading scenario was considered in the analysis. This is further described in Section 3.2, with reference to the feedline placement diagram (Figure 1).

The analysis was completed per the TIA-222 standard and is considered a rigorous analysis.

The results of our analysis indicate that the existing tower **is structurally adequate** to support the described loading. Refer to Section 3.5 for additional information regarding assumptions for this analysis.

Please refer to the report which follows this summary for further information. Feel free to contact us if you have any questions or concerns.

SECTION 2 INTRODUCTION

2.1 PURPOSE OF REPORT

Edge Consulting Engineers (Edge) performed a structural analysis for the existing tower to determine whether the tower is structurally adequate to support the loading condition referenced in Section 3.2, pursuant to the TIA-222 standard. This assessment was completed using background information provided by the client and/or obtained in the field (where noted) and in conformance with current applicable codes, client directed protocols, and the judgment of the structural engineer.

2.2 SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of services for this project included a structural analysis and modeling of the tower structure and foundation systems in accordance with client supplied information. This type of analysis, under the TIA-222 standard, is considered to be a "rigorous" analysis of the tower.

This report summarizes the structural analysis results.

SECTION 3 ANALYSIS

3.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The subject tower is an existing Rohn 250 foot tall, model #80 guyed tower which was originally designed in November of 1983. It is our understanding that the tower geometry has been altered from the original design. We were provided the following information at the project outset:

- 1. Tower & foundation drawings: Rohn Eng. File: 18844JC dated 11/8/1983
- 2. Structural analysis/mod.: Edge Eng. File: 21295 dated 8/29/2019 *
- 3. Structural analysis: Mission 1 Eng. File: 784310.01.DISH dated 8/13/2021
- 4. Tower inventory confirmation per Edge site visit dated 12/21/2022
- 5. Proposed antenna and feedline loading configuration
- 6. Geotechnical report: STS Eng. File: 81698-F dated 10/25/1983

*This modification design was verified to have been installed in a modification inspection report completed by Edge dated 12/19/2019. It was generally found to conform to the required modifications detailed in the associated modification report.

3.2 LOADING CONDITION

The listed heights for appurtenances are representative of the centerline.

The following loading condition was considered during this analysis:

Ant. Height	#	Manufacturer & Model #	Mounting Type	Technology / Notes	Feedline (#) Size	Owner	Status
191.5'	1	Ericsson AIR 6449	12' HD V-Boom	C-Band		U.S. Cellular	Proposed
189'	2	Amphenol TWIN6510LU000G	12' HD V-Boom	Panel		U.S. Cellular	Existing
189'	1	Amphenol BXA-70063/8CF-E-DIN	12' HD V-Boom	CDMA	(2) 1-5/8"	U.S. Cellular	Existing
187.5'	1	Raycap RUSDC-6267-PF-48	Lattice Mount	SPD	(1) Hybrid	U.S. Cellular	Proposed
187.5'	1	Raycap RUSDC-6267-PF-48	Lattice Mount	SPD	(1) Hybrid	U.S. Cellular	Existing
187.5'	1	Ericsson RRU-4449	Lattice Mount	RRU		U.S. Cellular	Existing
187.5'	1	Ericsson RRU-8843	Lattice Mount	RRU		U.S. Cellular	Existing
187.5'	1	Ericsson RRU-11	Lattice Mount	RRU		U.S. Cellular	Existing
186.5'	1	Ericsson AIR 6419	12' HD V-Boom	DoD		U.S. Cellular	Proposed
161.5'	3	JMA MX08FRO665-21	8' V-Frame	Panel		DISH	Existing
161.5'	3	Fujitsu TA08025-B605	8' V-Frame	RRH		DISH	Existing
161.5'	3	Fujitsu TA08025-B604	8' V-Frame	RRH		DISH	Existing
161.5'	1	Raycap RDIDC-9181-PF-48	8' V-Frame	SPD	(1) 1-3/4" Hybrid	DISH	Existing
138.5'	2	Ericsson AIR 6449	12' HD V-Boom	C-Band		U.S. Cellular	Proposed
136'	4	Amphenol TWIN6510LU000G	12' HD V-Boom	Panel		U.S. Cellular	Existing
136'	2	Amphenol BXA-70063/8CF-E-DIN	12' HD V-Boom	CDMA	(4) 1-5/8"	U.S. Cellular	Existing
133.5'	2	Ericsson AIR 6419	12' HD V-Boom	DoD		U.S. Cellular	Proposed
131'	1	Raycap RUSDC-6267-PF-48	Lattice Mount	SPD	(1) Hybrid	U.S. Cellular	Proposed
131'	2	Raycap RUSDC-6267-PF-48	Lattice Mount	SPD	(2) Hybrid	U.S. Cellular	Existing
131'	2	Ericsson RRU-4449	Lattice Mount	RRU		U.S. Cellular	Existing
131'	2	Ericsson RRU-8843	Lattice Mount	RRU		U.S. Cellular	Existing
131'	2	Ericsson RRU-11	Lattice Mount	RRU		U.S. Cellular	Existing

The loading condition detailed in the table above is representative of the final loading condition of all other carriers which have loading changes that have been detailed and approved in structural analyses completed by other parties.

If the loading condition is altered from that analyzed, this report shall be deemed obsolete and further analysis will be required.

The feedline placement associated with the loading condition which was considered in this analysis is attached as Figure 1. The loading condition is further described in the Designed Appurtenance Loading table provided in Appendix A.

3.3 ANALYSIS CRITERIA

This analysis used the following structural design criteria:

Location

Waukesha County, WI

Governing Code/Standard Used TIA-EIA Rev. G General Structural Design Criteria Importance/Risk Category Wind Speed Exposure Category Topographic Category Ice Thickness Wind Speed w/ Ice

II 115 mph (Ultimate/Strength Level) C 1 - Flat/Rolling 0.75" 40 mph

These criteria were selected based on the location and use of the subject tower. The client and/or tower owner <u>must</u> review these criteria for applicability and notify Edge if a different tower structure class, topographic category, or exposure criteria are warranted.

3.4 ANALYSIS METHOD

Structural analysis computations and modeling of the tower structure were performed using TNX Tower Version 8.0 software. TNX Tower is a general-purpose modeling, analysis, and design program created specifically for communications towers using the TIA-222-H or any previous TIA/EIA Standards back to RS-222 (1959). Steel design is checked using the referenced AISC Specifications. This program automatically generates nodes and elements for a subsequent finite element analysis (FEA) for standard tower types including self-support towers, guyed towers and monopoles. It allows entry of dishes, feedlines, discrete loads (loads from appurtenances) and user defined loads anywhere on the tower. TNX Tower uses wind effects from multiple directions and ice loads to develop pressure coefficients, wind pressures, ice loads and resulting forces on the tower per TIA-222 requirements.

The tower foundation system was also reviewed for the resulting applied forces due to the described loading condition. Items reviewed include checking the global overturning and shear of the foundation system. In addition, the anchor bolts and guy anchors (where applicable) were also reviewed for structural adequacy.

3.5 ASSUMPTIONS

For the purpose of this analysis, it has been assumed that the tower and foundation have been properly installed and maintained per the manufacturer's specifications and recommendations. Further limitations and restrictions have been provided in Section 5.

SECTION 4 RESULTS

4.1 TOWER STRUCTURE

The analysis results of the existing tower structure when considering the described loading condition indicate the tower structure <u>is structurally adequate</u>. Refer to Section 3.5 for additional information regarding assumptions for this analysis.

The results of the analysis are shown in the following table. The ratio listed for each tower element represents the capacity ratio calculated for the controlling member(s) for each element type.

Capacity - Results				
Tower Structure Elements	Capacity Ratio (%)	Comment		
Legs				
85'-105'	77.3%	Adequate		
Diagonals				
125'-140.2'	74.8%	Adequate		
Horizontals				
0.1'-1.9'	17.4%	Adequate		
Girts				
3.5'-5'	64.3%	Adequate		
Guys				
124'	64.9%	Adequate		
Top Guy Pull-Off				
124'	11.4%	Adequate		
Torque Arm Top				
180'	52.9%	Adequate		
Bolts				
5'-25' (Bottom Girt Member Bearing)	76.5%	Adequate		

Diagrams of the tower's maximum deflection, tilt, and twist are provided in Appendix A.

4.2 TOWER FOUNDATIONS

The results of the analysis indicate that the tower base foundation <u>is adequate</u>. From this analysis it was determined that the foundation meets strength requirements per the current ACI specification. However, it was also determined that the area of steel provided in the pad is less than the minimum required by the same standard.

The existing guy anchors were evaluated for both sliding and uplift as per the given soil properties from the geotechnical report. The reactions in the guy anchors from the described loading condition are less than the allowable. Therefore, the anchors <u>are considered</u> <u>structurally adequate</u>.

Refer to Appendix A for support calculations and to Section 3.5 for additional information regarding assumptions for this analysis.

4.3 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The client and tower owner shall closely review this report including assumptions made, analysis criteria selected and loading conditions modeled. Any questions or discrepancies with these items shall be clarified with the engineer.

Edge recommends that qualified personnel assess the physical condition of the tower, in accordance with the guidelines and frequency provided in the TIA-222 standard.

SECTION 5 LIMITATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS

- 1. This report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted structural engineering practices common to the tower industry and makes no other warranties, either expressed or implied, as to the professional advice provided under the terms of the agreement between Engineer and Client. This report has not been prepared for uses or parties other than those specifically named, or for uses or applications other than those enumerated herein. The report may contain insufficient or inaccurate information for other purposes, applications, and/or other uses.
- 2. This report is intended for the use of the client, and cannot be utilized or relied upon by other parties without the written consent of Edge Consulting Engineers.
- 3. Edge Consulting Engineers is not responsible for any, and all, tower modifications completed prior to, or hereafter, which Edge Consulting Engineers was not, or will not, be directly involved.
- 4. The model, conclusions, and recommendations contained within this report are based upon the supplied and attained information as described within the report and supplemented with historical information available to Edge Consulting Engineers. If it is known, or becomes known, that any item(s) are in conflict with what is described within this document, this report should be considered void and Edge Consulting Engineers should be contacted immediately.
- 5. Edge Consulting Engineers disclaims all liability for any information, conclusion, or recommendation that is not expressly stated or represented within this report.
- 6. Edge Consulting Engineers shall not be liable for any incidental, consequential, indirect, special or punitive damages arising out of any claim associated with the use of this report.
- 7. The scope of work performed for this analysis is limited to the items in which we were furnished complete and accurate information.
- 8. Accessories and appurtenances such as antenna mounts, feed line ladders, climbing ladders, lighting mounts, etc. were not analyzed as part of this work, and Edge Consulting Engineers makes no claim as to their adequacy of their design or their installation.
- 9. This analysis was performed under the assumption that all tower elements are in like new condition, free from rust and other deterioration. Additionally, this analysis assumes that all installed modification designs were thoroughly reviewed and approved by the respective engineer of record and they are able to carry their intended design capacity. It is also assumed the tower was properly installed per construction documents, and that the tower and all associated appurtenances were originally designed and fabricated in accordance with all applicable codes and standards. Edge Consulting Engineers cannot account for, nor be held responsible, if tower elements are deteriorated, damaged, and/or missing.
- 10. This tower analysis was performed based upon the antenna, feed line and other appurtenance loading and placement as described within this report. Any alterations to the described loading or placement will require re-analysis of the tower, and the findings contained in this report are not valid.
- 11. The loading conditions utilized for this analysis is based on information provided by the client, and readily available manufacturer/vendor information (antenna and mount projected areas, weight and shape factors). However, if the described loading criteria and design assumptions within this report are not accurate, are altered, or changed in any form, this analysis shall be considered void and an additional analysis must be performed.
- 12. It is the responsibility of the client and the tower owner to thoroughly review the existing and proposed loading, and bring any discrepancy to the attention of Edge Consulting Engineers.
- 13. Modification designs are to be based upon a rigorous or comprehensive analysis per the referenced TIA-222 standard. As such designs assume any suggested modifications are installed as recommended and are not intended to address temporary conditions on the tower as modifications are being performed. It is strongly recommended that the Installer of any tower modification thoroughly assess installation procedures and how temporary conditions present while modifications are being performed influence tower members. Installer is responsible for sequence of operation and any required temporary bracing or strengthening of tower during modification operations.
- 14. Site-specific loading or local building code requirements may be more stringent than the minimum loading requirements specified in the Standard. These and other unique loads or loading combination requirements are to be specified by the owner (in the procurement specifications).
- 15. Supplementary rime ice and in-cloud ice loadings (including thickness, density, escalation with height and corresponding wind speed) are to be included in the procurement specification when appropriate for a given site location.
- 16. The service loads and deformation limits specified in the Standard are the minimum requirements for communication structures. When more stringent requirements are required for a specific application, the serviceability limit state basic wind speed and, if required, the serviceability limit state design ice thickness; the deformation limitations (twist, sway and horizontal displacement) and the location/elevation where the deformation limitations apply are to be included in the procurement specification.

Figure 1

Feedline Placement Diagram



Appendix A

Structural Calculations





DESIGNED APPURTENANCE LOADING

	TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION	
\backslash	Lightning Rod 5/8x4' (Tower)	250	AIR 6449 w/ mounting pipe (U.S.	138.5	
	Flash Beacon Lighting (Tower)	250	Cellular)		
	4'6"x3" Pipe Mount (Tower)	250	10'x2" Antenna Mount Pipe (U.S.	136	
	AIR 6449 w/ mounting pipe (U.S. Cellular)	191.5	Cellular) 10'x2" Antenna Mount Pipe (U.S.	136	
	10'x2" Antenna Mount Pipe (U.S.	189	Cellular) TWIN6510LU000G w/ mount pipe	136	
	TWIN6510LU000G w/ mount pipe	189	(U.S. Cellular)	136	
	BXA-70063/8CF-E-DIN w/ Mount Pipe	189	(U.S. Cellular)	100	
	(U.S. Cellular) Sabre C10857111 (12' HD V-Frame,	189	U.S. Cellular)	130	
	No Pipes) (U.S. Cellular)	189	BXA-70063/8CF-E-DIN w/ Mount Pipe (U.S. Cellular)	136	
\backslash	TWIN6510LU000G w/ mount pipe	189	Sabre C10857111 (12' HD V-Frame, No Pipes) (U.S. Cellular)	136	
	Site Pro CWT8 (No Pipes) (U.S.	187.5	Sabre C10857111 (12' HD V-Frame, No Pipes) (U.S. Cellular)	136	
	Site Dre CW/TR (Ne Dinee) (U.S.	107 5	10'x2 1/2" Pipe Mount (U.S. Cellular)	136	
	Cellular)	107.5	10'x2 1/2" Pipe Mount (U.S. Cellular)	136	
	6'x2" Antenna Mount Pipe (U.S. Cellular)	187.5	TWIN6510LU000G w/ mount pipe (U.S. Cellular)	136	
	6'x2" Antenna Mount Pipe (U.S.	187.5	TWIN6510LU000G w/ mount pipe (U.S. Cellular)	136	
	Baycan BUSDC-6267-PE-48 (U.S.	187.5	AIR 6419 (U.S. Cellular)	133.5	
	Cellular)	101.0	AIR 6419 (U.S. Cellular)	133.5	
	Raycap RUSDC-6267-PF-48 (U.S. Cellular)	187.5	Site Pro CWT8 (No Pipes) (U.S. Cellular)	131	
	Ericsson RRU-4449 (U.S. Cellular)	187.5	Site Pro CWT8 (No Pipes) (U.S.	131	
	Ericsson RRU-8843 (U.S. Cellular)	187.5	Cellular)		
	Ericsson RRU-11 (U.S. Cellular)	187.5	Site Pro CWT8 (No Pipes) (U.S.	131	
	AIR 6419 (U.S. Cellular)	186.5		101	
	Site Pro VFA8-HD (No Pipes) (DISH)	161.5	Site Pro CW 18 (No Pipes) (U.S. Cellular)	131	
	Site Pro VFA8-HD (No Pipes) (DISH)	161.5	6'x2" Antenna Mount Pine (ULS	131	
	Site Pro VFA8-HD (No Pipes) (DISH)	161.5	Cellular)	101	
$\langle $	8'x2 1/2" Pipe Mount (DISH)	161.5	6'x2" Antenna Mount Pipe (U.S.	131	
	8'x2 1/2" Pipe Mount (DISH)	161.5	Cellular)		
	8'x2 1/2" Pipe Mount (DISH)	161.5	6'x2" Antenna Mount Pipe (U.S. Cellular)	131	
	(DISH)	101.5	6'x2" Antenna Mount Pipe (U.S.	131	
	(DISH)	161.5	Raycap RUSDC-6267-PF-48 (U.S.	131	
	MX08FRO665-21 w/ Mount Pipe (DISH)	161.5	Raycap RUSDC-6267-PF-48 (U.S.	131	
	Fujitsu TA08025-B605 (DISH)	161.5	Cellular)		
	Fujitsu TA08025-B605 (DISH)	161.5	Raycap RUSDC-6267-PF-48 (U.S.	131	
	Fujitsu TA08025-B605 (DISH)	161.5	Eriosson PRI 4440 (LLS, Collular)	121	
	Fujitsu TA08025-B604 (DISH)	161.5	Ericsson RRU-4449 (U.S. Cellular)	121	
	Fujitsu TA08025-B604 (DISH)	161.5		101	
	Fujitsu TA08025-B604 (DISH)	161.5	Erioson PPU 4440 (U.S. Cellular)	101	
	8'x2 1/2" Pipe Mount (DISH)	161.5	Frieson RRI 8842 (U.S. Cellular)	131	
	8'x2 1/2" Pipe Mount (DISH)	161.5	Ericeson PPU 11 (U.S. Cellular)	101	
	8'x2 1/2" Pipe Mount (DISH)	161.5	Mid Roopon (Towar)	100 5	
	6'x2" Antenna Mount Pipe (DISH)	161.5	Mid Beacon (Tower)	120.0	
	Raycap RDIDC-9181-PF-48 (DISH)	161.5	Mid Beacon (Tower)	120.0	
	AIR 6449 w/ mounting pipe (U.S. Cellular)	138.5		120.0	

SYMBOL LIST

••••••••					
MARK	SIZE	MARK	SIZE		
A	Rohn 80 Base (Mod Angle) - Bent Plates	D	3 @ 0.453958		
В	Rohn 80 Base (Mid Section) - Bent Plate	E	4 @ 0.452667		
С	3 @ 0.537292				



SA-1



Scale: NTS

Code: TIA-222-G

Path

Phone: (608) 644-1449

FAX: (608) 644-1549

Date: 06/06/23



TIA-222-G - 115 mph/40 mph 0.7500 in Ice Exposure C Leg Compression (Ib)



Edge Consulting Engineers, In 624 Water Street Prairie du Sac, WI 53578 Phone: (608) 644-1449 FAX: (608) 644-1549

c.	^{Job:} Delafield (7843		
	Project: 34698		
	Client: U.S. Cellular Drawn by: kscharenbroch		App'd:
	^{Code:} TIA-222-G	Date: 06/06/23	Scale: NTS
	Path: 0:34600/34698/Structural/2023-05-30 Tower A	Analysis/Tower Model/34698. Delafield. (784310). TNX Tower. 2023-05-30	Dwg No. E-3



	Edg	ge
Consu	Iting Engin	neers, Inc

Edge Consulting Engineers, In 624 Water Street Prairie du Sac, WI 53578 Phone: (608) 644-1449 FAX: (608) 644-1549

nc.	<i>c</i> . ^{Job:} Delafield (784310)				
	Project: 34698				
	^{Client:} U.S. Cellular	Drawn by: kscharenbroch	App'd:		
	^{Code:} TIA-222-G	Date: 06/06/23	^{Scale:} NTS		
	Path:		Dwg No. E-5		

Feed Line Distribution Chart 31/32" - 250'6-19/32"

App In Face _____ App Out Face _____ Truss Leg



	Edge Consulting Engineers, Inc.	^{Job:} Delafield (784	310)	
N Edge	624 Water Street	Project: 34698		
Consulting Engineers, Inc.	Prairie du Sac. WI 53578	^{Client:} U.S. Cellular	Drawn by: kscharenbroch	App'd:
	Phone: (608) 644-1449	^{Code:} TIA-222-G	Date: 06/06/23	Scale: NTS
	FAX: (608) 644-1549	Path: 0:134600/34698/Structural/2023-05-30 Tower	AnalysisiTower Model/34698. Delafield. (784310). TNX Tower: 2023-05-3	Dwg No. E-7

Elevation (ft)

Round

Flat

SA-5

Foundation Analysis

Project Name - DELAFIELD (784310) DELAFIELD, Wisconsin Edge #34698



Completed By:	TAC
Checked By:	KTS

General Information:

Design Code: ACI 318-14
Footing Type: Spread Footing
Column Type: Pedestal

Geometry:

Existing Foundation	n		
Foundation Depth =	4.50	ft	
Slab Length (Z) =	6.50	ft	
Slab Width (X) =	6.50	ft	
Slab Thickness =	18.00	in	
Pier Height =	3.50	ft	
Pier Shape =	Square		
Pier Width (Square) =	2.00	ft	





Materials:

Existing Foundatio			
Concrete Strength $(f_c) =$	3000	psi	_
Concrete Unit Weight (w _c) =	150	pcf	> Normal Weight
Concrete Elasticity Modulus (E _c) =	3320.6	ksi	
Steel Elasticity Modulus (E _s) =	29000	ksi	
Pad, Steel Yield Stress (f _y) =	40	ksi	
Pier Vert. Bars, Steel Yield Stress (fy) =	40	ksi	
Pier Ties, Steel Yield Stress $(f_y) =$	40	ksi	
Soil Parameters:			
Unit Weight of Soil $(\gamma_{soil}) =$	100	pcf	
Submerged soil unit weight ($\gamma_{sub,soil}$) =	60	pcf	
Coefficient of Friction Against Sliding =	0.25	Assumed	
Depth to Water Table =	12	ft	



Note: "Vertical" Axis is X Axis and "Horizontal" Axis is Z Axis

Foundation Analysis

Project Name - DELAFIELD (784310) DELAFIELD, Wisconsin Edge #34698



Completed By: TAC Checked By: KTS

Reinforcement Details:

Existina	Footina	Reinforcement
=/		

Clear Cover: 3 in Bottom Reinf.Parallel to X Axis: #5 @ 10.2" Bottom Reinf.Parallel to Z Axis: #5 @ 10.2"

Existing Pedestal Reinforcement

 Clear Cover:
 3
 in

 Vertical Reinforcement:
 (8) #6 Bars

 Provided Area:
 3.52
 in²

 Transverse Reinforcement:
 (5) #3 Ties

 Legs Parallel to X Axis:
 2

 Legs Parallel to Z Axis:
 2

Loading Conditions to be Included in Design: Service Load Combinations:

Service Load Combinations: S1...... 1.0

	S1 1.0 D + 1.0 G
	S2 1.0 D + 1.0 G + 0.7 I
	S3 1.0 D + 1.0 G + 0.6 Wo_x
	S4 1.0 D + 1.0 G + 0.6 Wo_z
	S5 1.0 D + 1.0 G + 0.7 I + 0.7 Wi_x
	S6 1.0 D + 1.0 G + 0.7 I + 0.7 Wi_z
	S7 0.6 D + 0.6 G + 0.6 Wo_x
	S8 0.6 D + 0.6 G + 0.6 Wo_z
	S9 0.6 D + 0.6 G + 0.7 I + 0.7 Wi_x
ŝ	S10 0.6 D + 0.6 G + 0.7 I + 0.7 Wi_z

Design Load Combinations:

D1...... 1.2 D + 1.0 G + 1.0 Wo_x D2..... 1.2 D + 1.0 G + 1.0 Wo_z D3..... 1.2 D + 1.0 G + 1.0 I + 1.0 Wi_x D4..... 1.2 D + 1.0 G + 1.0 I + 1.0 Wi_z D5..... 1.2 D + 1.0 G

Applied Loads:

	Axial	Mxx	Mzz	Vx	Vz
Condition	(kip)	(kip*ft)	(kip*ft)	(kip)	(kip)
Dead Load (DL)	28.92	0	0	0	0
Ice Load (IL)	102.26	0	0	0	0
Guy Load (G)	65.13	0	0	0	0
Wind w/out Ice (X-Dir.)	22.74	0	0	0.11	0
Wind w/out Ice (Z-Dir.)	22.74	0	0	0	0.11
Wind with Ice (X-Dir.)	2.36	0	0	0.08	0
Wind with Ice (Z-Dir.)	2.36	0	0	0	0.08

Foundation Analysis

Project Name - DELAFIELD (784310) DELAFIELD, Wisconsin Edge #34698



Completed By:	TAC
Checked By:	KTS

Results:

Soil Bearing:

Eccentricity in Z Direction= Kern for Z Direction =	0.0015 1.08	ft ft
Maximum Gross Bearing Pressure = ASD Allowable, Gross Bearing Capacity =	4,512 10,000	psf psf
DCR =	45.12%	Ø

Foundation Sliding Check:

							-
		tion	In X Direct				In Z Direction
		S7	Controlling Load Combination:			S8	Controlling Load Combination:
	kip	20.98	Force Resisting Sliding =		kip	20.98	Force Resisting Sliding =
	kip	0.07	Sliding Force =		kip	0.07	Sliding Force =
0 🥥	> 1.50	317.89	Factor of Safety =	0	> 1.50	317.89	Factor of Safety =

Foundation Overturning Check:

About X-X Axis				About Z-Z Axis
Controlling Load Combination:	S8			Controlling Load Combination: S7
Restoring Moment =	272.75	kip-ft		Restoring Moment = 272.75 kip-ft
Overturning Moment =	0.33	kip-ft		Overturning Moment = 0.33 kip-ft
Factor of Safety =	826.51	> 1.50	Ø	Factor of Safety = 826.51 > 1.50 🥥

Footing Flexure Checks:

Reduction Factor: 0.90

Direction	Controlling Load Combination	Location	Flexural Demand (M _u , kip)	Flexural Capacity (φM _n , kip)	DCR M _u / φM _n	Ch	eck
Bending About X Axis	D3	Pier Face	80.15	102.77	78.0%		0
Bending About Z Axis	D3	Pier Face	80.15	102.77	78.0%		0

Shear Checks (One-Way Shear):

Reduction Factor: 0.75

Shear Area: 1,097 in²

	Direction	Controlling Load Combination	Location	Shear Demand (V _u , kip)	Shear Capacity (φV _c , kip)	DCR V _u / φV _c	Ch	eck
Γ	Bending About X Axis	D3	Critical Section	34.14	90.12	37.9%		0
Γ	Bending About Z Axis	D3	Critical Section	34.14	90.12	37.9%		0

Punching Shear Checks (Two-Way Shear):

Reduction Factor: 0.75

Controlling Load Combination	Location	Perimeter at Critical Section (b _o , in)	Punching Shear Area (A _{cr} , in ²)	Shear Demand (V _u , kip)	Shear Capacity (φV _c , kip)	DCR V _u / φV _c	Ch	eck
D3	Existing Pier	153.50	2,207	155.78	362.58	43.0%		0

Guy Anchor Calculations

Project Name - DELAFIELD (784310) DELAFIELD, Wisconsin Edge #34698

Guy Anchor Reactions (120 ft. Radius):



Completed By: TAC Checked By: KTS

Uplift (U) =	16.23	kip	*Per INX Tower Output
Shear (V) =	20.30	kip	
Soil Properties:			
Soil Unit Weight (Y _{Soil}) =	120	lb/ft ³	
Effective Soil Unit Weight (y`soil) =	60	lb/ft ³	
Depth to Water Table (d _{water}) =	12	ft	
Soil Friction Angle (Φ_{soil}) =	30	0	
Ultimate Passive Earth Pressure $(\sigma_p) =$	360	psf/ft of soil dep	oth
Ultimate Skin Friction $(\sigma_s) =$	0.0	psf	
Horizontal Plane Friction Coefficient (μ_h) =	0.00		
Vertical Plane Friction Coefficient (μ_v) =	0.00		
phi factor (Φ) =	0.75		
Guy Anchor Geometry:			
Depth to Bottom of Guy Anchor (h) =	7.00	ft	
Guy Anchor Depth (d) =	2.00	ft	
Guy Anchor Width (b) =	3.00	ft	
Guy Anchor Length (L) =	7.50	ft	
Guy Anchor Toe Height (t) =	0.00	ft	
Calculated Geometry			$w = \tan(\phi_{-1}) \cdot l$
			ψ $\operatorname{curl}(\varphi_{soil})$
Soil Wedge Height Above Anchor (I _{min}) =	5.00	ft	Soil Wedge Width Above Anchor (w _{min}) = 2.89 ft
Soil Wedge Height From Bottom (I _{max}) =	7.00	ft	Soil Wedge Width From Bottom (w _{max}) = 4.04 ft
Wet Soil Wedge Height Above Anchor (Iw) =	0.00	ft	Wet Soil Wedge Width Above Anchor (w _w) = 0.00 ft
Wet Soil Wedge Height From Bottom (I _{m,w}) =	0.00	ft	Wet Soil Wedge Width From Bottom $(w_{m,w}) = 0.00$ ft
Guy Anchor Forces:			
$W = d h I (\cdots 150 \cdots 5)$			
$W_{concrete} = a \cdot b \cdot L \cdot (\gamma_c = 150 pcf)$			
Effective Weight of Concrete Block (W _{concrete}) =	6.8	kips	*If below water table, reduced by the weight of water
Effective Weight of Soil in Block (W _{block}) =	5.4	kips	*Weight of Anchor Block if it was soil for later calc.
1 /) 1 /
$W_i = \frac{1}{2} \cdot l_i \cdot \left(b \cdot L + \sqrt{b \cdot L} \cdot (b + 2w_i) \cdot (L + 2w_i) \right)$	$\overline{v_{i}} + (b_{i})$	$(L + 2w_i) \cdot (L +$	$(2w_i)$). $\frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma_i}$
$3^{1/2}$	•1) • (3	1 2010 (21	1000
Dry Weight of Soil Above Anchor (W _{min}) =	38.0	kips	
Dry Weight of Soil From Bottom (W _{max}) =	72.1	kips	
Buoyed Weight of Soil Above Anchor (Ww) =	0.0	kips	
Buoyed Weight of Soil From Bottom (W _{m,w}) =	0.0	kips	
147 147 147 147	147	147 147	147
$W_{top} = W_{min} - W_w \qquad \qquad W_{add} =$	= vv _{max}	$-W_{m,w} - W_{top}$	$p - W_{block}$
Net Weight of Soil Above Anchor (W _{top}) =	38.0	kips	
Max Weight Increase to Bottom (W _{add}) =	28.7	kips	*Can't be less than zero
1			
$V_{toe} = 0.6 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sqrt{f_c'} \cdot 2 \cdot (b+L) \cdot (t-2in)$			
3 112 3			
Concrete Toe Capacity (V _{toe}) =	0.0	kips	*Can't be less than zero
$W_{soil} = W_{top} + \min(W_{add}, V_{toe})$			
Effective Weight of Soil on Anchor (W _{soil}) =	38.0	kips	
		-	
$W_{dir} = (D \cdot L) \cdot ((\iota_{min} - \iota_w) \cdot \gamma_{soil} + \iota_w \cdot \gamma_{soil})$			
Weight Directly on Block (W _{dir}) =	13.5	kips	
$N_{comp} = W_{Concrete} + W_{dir} - 0$			
Net Compression Force (N _{comp}) =	4.0	kips	*Can't be less than zero
$r_{sf} = a \cdot (2 \cdot b + L) \cdot \sigma_s$			
Skin Friction on Block (F _{sf}) =	0.0	kips	
1			
$R_{\text{soll}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma_{\text{m}+\text{son}} + \sigma_{\text{m}+\text{solution}} \right) \cdot d \cdot L$			
2 (p,top , op, pottom) a			
Passive Soil Pressure at Top of Block ($\sigma_{p,top}$) =	1800	psf	
Passive Soil Pressure at Bottom of Block (op. bottom) =	2520	psf	
Soil Resistance (R _{Soil}) =	32.4	kips	
Guy Anchor Uplift Case:			
$\phi U = \phi(\mu_v \cdot \max(V - \mu_h \cdot N_{comp}, 0) + W_{concre}$	te + ma	$x(W_{soil}, W_{dir} +$	$+F_{sf}$)
			•)•
Uplift Resistance (ΦU) =	33.6	kips	
,			
Unity =	0.48		<u>OK</u>
Guy Anchor Slippage Case:			
$\phi V = \phi(R_{soil} + \mu_h \cdot N_{comp})$			
-			
Shear Resistance (ΦV) =	24.3	kips	
Unity =	0.84		<u>OK</u>

Guy Anchor Calculations

Project Name - DELAFIELD (784310) DELAFIELD, Wisconsin Edge #34698

Guy Anchor Reactions (200 ft. Radius):



Completed By: TAC Checked By: KTS

Soil Properties: Soil Unit Weight (Y_{Soil}) = 120 $1b/ft^3$ Effective Soil Unit Weight (Y_{Soil}) = 60 $1b/ft^3$ Depth to Water Table (d_{wail}) = 12 ft Soil Friction Angle (Φ_{wail}) = 30 ° Uttimate Passive Earth Pressure (σ_c) = 360 psf/t of soil depth Uttimate Passive Earth Pressure (σ_c) = 0.0 psf Horizontal Plane Friction Coefficient (μ_n) = 0.00 phi factor (Φ) = 0.75 Guy Anchor Geometry: Depth to Bottom of Guy Anchor (h) = 11.00 ft Guy Anchor Width (b) = 3.50 ft Guy Anchor Width (b) = 3.50 ft Guy Anchor Toe Height (t) = 0.00 ft	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	
Effective Soil Unit Weight (γ'_{Soil}) = 60 Ib/ft^3 Depth to Water Table (d_{water}) = 12 ft Soil Friction Angle (Φ_{soil}) = 30 ° Ultimate Passive Earth Pressure (σ_c) = 360 psf/t of soil depth Ultimate Passive Earth Pressure (σ_c) = 300 psf Horizontal Plane Friction Coefficient (μ_c) = 0.00 psf Vertical Plane Friction Coefficient (μ_c) = 0.00 phi factor (Φ) = phi factor (Φ) = 0.75 To Guy Anchor Geometry: Guy Anchor Depth (d) = 2.00 ft Guy Anchor Width (b) = 3.50 ft Guy Anchor Width (b) = 3.50 ft Guy Anchor Toe Height (t) = 0.00 ft	
Depth to Water Table (d _{water}) = 12 ft Soil Friction Angle (Φ _{water}) = 30 * Ultimate Passive Earth Pressure (σ _a) = 360 psf/ft of soil depth Ultimate Passive Earth Pressure (σ _a) = 0.0 psf Horizontal Plane Friction Coefficient (μ _a) = 0.00 psf Vertical Plane Friction Coefficient (μ _a) = 0.00 0.00 phi factor (0) = 0.75 0.00 Guy Anchor Geometry: Depth to Bottom of Guy Anchor (h) = 11.00 ft Guy Anchor Vidth (b) = 3.50 ft Guy Anchor Vidth (b) = 3.50 ft Guy Anchor Toe Height (t) = 0.00 ft	
$\begin{array}{ccc} Soil \ \mbox{Friction Angle} (\Phi_{soil}) = & 30 & \circ \\ Ultimate Passive \ \mbox{Earth Pressure } (\sigma_p) = & 360 & psf/t \ \mbox{of soil depth} \\ Ultimate Skin \ \mbox{Friction } (\sigma_s) = & 0.0 & psf \\ Horizontal Plane \ \mbox{Friction Coefficient } (\mu_s) = & 0.00 & \\ \mbox{Vertical Plane Friction Coefficient } (\mu_s) = & 0.00 & \\ \mbox{phi factor } (\Phi) = & 0.75 & \\ \hline \mbox{Guy Anchor Geometry:} & & \\ \mbox{Guy Anchor Depth } (d) = & 11.00 & ft & \\ \mbox{Guy Anchor Depth } (d) = & 3.50 & ft & \\ \mbox{Guy Anchor Vidth } (b) = & 3.50 & ft & \\ \mbox{Guy Anchor Length } (L) = & 10.00 & ft & \\ \mbox{Guy Anchor De Height } (f) = & 0.00 & ft & \\ Guy Anchor De$	
$ \begin{array}{ccc} \mbox{Ultimate Passive Earth Pressure } (\sigma_p) = & 360 & pst/ft of soil depth \\ & \mbox{Ultimate Skin Friction } (\sigma_q) = & 0.0 & pst \\ \mbox{Horizontal Plane Friction Coefficient} } (\mu_q) = & 0.00 & \\ \mbox{Vertical Plane Friction Coefficient} } (\mu_q) = & 0.00 & \\ \mbox{Phi factor } (\Phi) = & 0.75 & \\ \end{array} $	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	
Vertical Plane Friction Coefficient (μ_{ν}) = 0.00 phi factor (Φ) = 0.75 Guy Anchor Geometry: 11.00 ft Guy Anchor Depth (d) = 2.00 ft Guy Anchor Width (b) = 3.50 ft Guy Anchor Length (L) = 10.00 ft Guy Anchor Toe Height (t) = 0.00 ft	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	
Guy Anchor Geometry: 11.00 ft Guy Anchor Depth (d) = 2.00 ft Guy Anchor Width (b) = 3.50 ft Guy Anchor Length (L) = 10.00 ft Guy Anchor De Height (t) = 0.00 ft	
Guy Anchor Geometry: Depth to Bottom of Guy Anchor (h) = 11.00 ft Guy Anchor Depth (d) = 2.00 ft Guy Anchor Width (b) = 3.50 ft Guy Anchor Length (L) = 10.00 ft Guy Anchor Toe Height (t) = 0.00 ft	
Depint to Bottom of Guy Anchor (n) = 11.00 ftGuy Anchor Depth (d) = 2.00 ftGuy Anchor Width (b) = 3.50 ftGuy Anchor Length (L) = 10.00 ftGuy Anchor Toe Height (t) = 0.00 ft	
$\begin{aligned} Guy Anchor Depth (0) &= 2.00 & \pi \\ Guy Anchor Width (b) &= 3.50 & ft \\ Guy Anchor Length (L) &= 10.00 & ft \\ Guy Anchor Toe Height (t) &= 0.00 & ft \end{aligned}$	
Guy Anchor Width (b) = 3.50 ft Guy Anchor Length (L) = 10.00 ft Guy Anchor Toe Height (t) = 0.00 ft	
Guy Anchor Length (L) = 10.00 ft Guy Anchor Toe Height (t) = 0.00 ft	
Guy Anchor Toe Height (t) = 0.00 ft	
Calculated Geometry $w = an(\phi_{soil}) \cdot l$	
Soll Wedge Height Above Anchor (Imm) = 9.00 ft Soil Wedge Width Above Anchor (Wm) = 5.20 ft	
Soil Wedge Height From Bottom (max) = 1100 ft Soil Wedge Width From Bottom (wax) = 6.35 ft	
Wet Soil Wedge Height Above Anchor (I) = 0.00 ft Wet Soil Wedge Width Above Anchor (W) = 0.00 ft	
Wet Soil Wedge Height From Bottom $(m_w) = 0.00$ ft Wet Soil Wedge Width From Bottom $(w_{m,w}) = 0.00$ ft	
Guy Anchor Forces:	
$W_{concrete} = d \cdot b \cdot L \cdot (\gamma_c = 150 pcf)$	
Effective Weight of Converte Direct (W) = 40.5 Line title to the section of the law water table reduced by	and the mainter of mater
Effective Weight of Concrete Block (W _{concrete}) = 10.5 klps "It below water table, reduced t Effective Weight of Solid Block (W _{concrete}) = 9.4 kins "Weight of Auctors Block (if two	by the weight of water
	as soli for later cale.
$W_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot l_{i} \cdot \left(b \cdot L + \sqrt{b \cdot L \cdot (b + 2w_{i}) \cdot (L + 2w_{i})} + (b + 2w_{i}) \cdot (L + 2w_{i}) \right) \cdot \frac{r_{i}}{1000}$	
3 (/ 1000	
Dry Weight of Soil Form Pattern (W.) = 302.0 kins	
Buy design of solir from bottom $(w_{max}) = 227.2$ kps	
Buyed Weight of Soil Rome Antoin $(M_{W}) = 0.0$ kine	
Budged weight of Solit for Bottom $(w_{m,w})^2 = 0.0$ kps	
$W_{top} = W_{min} - W_w \qquad \qquad W_{add} = W_{max} - W_{m,w} - W_{top} - W_{block}$	
Net Weight of Soil Above Anchor (W _{top}) = 150.4 kips	
Max Weight Increase to Bottom (W _{add}) = 68.3 kips *Can't be less than zero	
$V = 0.6 \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{f}{f} \cdot 2 \cdot (h+1) \cdot (t-2in)$	
$v_{toe} = 0.0 \cdot \frac{3}{3} \cdot \sqrt{j_c} \cdot 2 \cdot (b+2) \cdot (c-2m)$	
Concrete Toe Capacity (v_{too}) = 0.0 kips *Can't be less than zero	
$W_{soil} = W_{ton} + \min(W_{add}, V_{too})$	
Effective Weight of Soil on Anchor (W_{2}) = 150.4 kins	
$W_{dir} = (b \cdot L) \cdot \left((l_{min} - l_w) \cdot \gamma_{soil} + l_w \cdot \gamma_{soil} \right)$	
Weight Directly on Block (W _{dir}) = 37.8 kips	
$N_{comp} = W_{concrete} + W_{dir} - U$	
Net Compression Force (N _{comp}) = 17.0 kips "Can't be less than zero	
$F_{sf} = d \cdot (2 \cdot b + L) \cdot \sigma_s$	
Skin Friction on Block $(F_{-1}) = 0.0$ kins	
(a) 0.0	
$R_{\text{coll}} = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_{\text{max}} + \sigma_{\text{mbottom}}) \cdot d \cdot L$	
Passive Soil Pressure at Top of Block $(q_{p,top}) = 3240$ psf	
Passive Soil Pressure at Bottom of Block $(a_{p,bottom}) = 3960$ psf	
Soil Resistance (R _{Soil}) = 72.0 kips	
Guy Anchor Uplift Case:	
$\phi U = \phi(\mu_{v} \cdot \max(V - \mu_{h} \cdot N_{comp}, 0) + W_{concrete} + \max(W_{soil}, W_{dir} + F_{sf})$	
Unlift Resistance (DU) = 120.7 kine	
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	
$Unity = 0.26 \qquad \frac{OK}{C}$	
Unity = 0.26 <u>OK</u> Guy Anchor Slippage Case:	
$Unity = 0.26$ OK $\Phi V = \phi(R_{soil} + \mu_h \cdot N_{comp})$	
$Unity = 0.26$ OK $\Phi V = \phi(R_{soil} + \mu_h \cdot N_{comp})$ Shear Resistance (ΦV) = 54.0 kips	
$Unity = 0.26$ OK $\Phi V = \phi(R_{soil} + \mu_h \cdot N_{comp})$ Shear Resistance (ΦV) = 54.0 kips	

Guy Wire Tensions

Project Name - DELAFIELD (784310) DELAFIELD, Wisconsin Edge #34698



Completed By: TAC Checked By: KTS

Guy Wire Tensions

The given tension values are for the A anchor*. The tensions should be maintained as close as possible for these guys, while the remaining guys are used to plumb the tower. Check tension in all guy wires and adjust as appropriate.

*For tower orientation refer to Figure 1: Feedline Placement Diagram.

Guy	Anchor	Approx.	Approx. Elev		-	Tension	at Temp	erature	of Tensi	oning, lb	s.
(ft)	Location	(ft)	(ft)	Guy Size	0°F	20°F	40°F	60°F	80°F	100°F	120°F
239.0	Α	200	239	7/8 EHS	9224	8800	8382	7970	7565	7169	6782
180.3	А	200	180	9/16 EHS	4293	4024	3759	3500	3247	3003	2768
124.4	A	120	124	5/8 EHS	5123	4827	4532	4240	3950	3665	3383
64.4	Α	120	64	5/8 EHS	5683	5198	4716	4240	3771	3313	2873

784310	Delafield
--------	-----------

TOWN OF D BUILDING II SAFE Inspection request must for possible next busi <i>Next day inspections</i> For Inspections cal Wlinspections@	ELAFIEL NSPECTO built t be receive iness day in <i>are not gu</i> l 262-420-4 Dsafebuilt.	D PR ed by 4 pm, nspection <i>aranteed</i> 4732 or com	PERMIT NO: PROPERTY TYPE: OCCUPANCY TYPE: UNM SQUARE FOOTAGE: 700 ESTIMATED COST: \$2 TAX KEY NO: DELT0728	ANNED CELL TOWER) 0,000.00 3998001		
The undersigned hereby applies for all the laws of the State of Wiscons JOB ADDRESS:N44W2	a permit to do in and all the or 9190 OXFC	the work herein de rdinances of the To DRD DR	scribed and hereby agrees that all wo wn of Delafield	ork will be done in accordance with		
OWNER NAME: UNITED STATES CELLU	JLAR	OW	NER PHONE: 			
Capital Tower & Co ADDRESS: (STREET, CITY AND ZIP O 13330 Amberly Road PHONE: 402-786-3333	ommunicat: ^{CODE)} d, Waverl	ions Inc. y, NE 6846 E	? MAIL: joef@capitaltower	.com		
WORK CONSISTS OF: New Building Addition Accessory Building Roofing/Siding/Fence Alteration/Repair Deck/Pool Electrical Plumbing HVAC X Other Existing Cell Tower	COMMENTS/ADDITIONAL CONTRACTORS /WORK DESCRIPTION: US CELLULAR TO INSTALL 7 ANTENNAS TO EXISTING 250' GUYED CELL TOWER.					
CK# FROM RECEIVED APPLICANT'S SIGNATUR DATE: 7/12/23	RE:	<u>FEES:</u> Building Electric Plumbing HVAC Zoning Total	INSPECTCERTIFICDATE:	OR'S SIGNATURE:		



TOWN OF DELAFIELD APPLICATION FOR PLAN COMMISSION AGENDA

Plan Commission meetings are typically held the first Tuesday of every month. All applications must be submitted at least 3 weeks before a Plan Commission meeting to make the agenda. Any late submittals will be considered at the following meeting.

(PLEASE PRINT)

Owner	Information			Applicant	
Name:			Name:		
Address			Address		
City	State	Zip	City	State	Zip
Telephone Number			Telephone Number		
Email:			Email:		

APPLICATION TYPE AND FEE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

*Application fees are non-refundable. Fees cover costs associated with public notification, postage, copies, and document recording, however, applicants agree to pay all additional expenses that the Town may incur by virtue of contracted plan review services including but not limited to: legal, surveying and engineering costs.

\$150.00
\$50.00
\$50.00
\$75.00
\$300.00
\$150.00
\$250.00
\$100.00

Home Occupation	\$50.00
Zoning Amendment	\$300.00
Land Use Amendment	\$300.00
Conditional Use	\$225.00
Plan of Operation	\$150.00
Planned unit Development	\$225.00
Conceptual Plan Review	\$50.00
Other	\$50.00 minimum

PROJECT NAME:

Property Address:	
Tax ID/Parcel ID:	Lot Size:
Current Zoning:	Proposed Zoning (if applicable)
Present Use:	Intended Use (if applicable):

A complete application along with the appropriate fees shall be submitted by the deadline outlined at the top of the application. In order for an application to be considered complete, the application shall include the required number of site plans/maps, and all of the necessary supporting information as indicated on the project review checklist. If applying for a conditional use or development agreement, a document showing vested interest in the property is required. The Town of Delafield reserves the right not to accept an application that is deemed incomplete.



TOWN OF DELAFIELD PLAN COMMISSION APPLICATION

Project Description

Please answer the questions below that pertain to your request. If necessary, please attach a separate sheet.

PETITION FOR REZONING

In the space below, please describe the purpose of the rezoning.

PETITION FOR LAND USE AMENDM

In the space below, please describe the purpose of the Land Use Amendment.

PETITION FOR CONDITIONAL USE

In the space below, please describe the purpose of the Conditional Use.

PETITION FOR CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP / PRELIMINARY PLAT / FINAL PL

In the space below, please describe the intention of the land division.

PETITION FOR SITE PLAN / PLAN OF OPERATION / OTHER APPLICATION

In the space below, please describe the intention for the site plan, plan of operation, or other application.



Required Forms Checklist:

Legal Description (all applications)

Professional Staff/Fees Chargeback Acknowledgement (all applications) Certification for Division of Land (Certified Survey Map land splits)

Submittal Information:

One (1) copy of this application (signed & dated)

One (1) electronic copy of all supporting materials, i.e., drawings, plans and written documentation (via email to dgreen@townofdelafield.org).

Two (2) full size hard copies of all supporting materials, i.e., drawings, plans and written documentation of plans 11"x17" and smaller.

Seven (7) copies of supporting materials larger than 11"x17".

I understand that this form shall be on file in the office of the Town Admnistrator by 4:00 p.m. on the 21st day before the meeting on which I desire to be heard or as required in the Land Division or Zoning Ordinance, whicever is longer. Plan Commission meetings are held the first Tuesday of each month. Furthermore, I understand that any engineering or legal review fees associated with this project may be charged to me.

FAILURE TO PROVIDE ALL REQUIRED MATERIALS AND INFORMATION CAN RESULT IN THIS APPLICATION BEING WITHDRAWN FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE PLAN COMMISSION.

Signature of Owner	Date	
Print Name		
For Office Use Only		
Application Received	Amount Received	
Date Received	Received by	
PC Meeting Date	Board Meeting Date	
Public Hearing Date		
Publication Date (if required)		



TOWN OF DELAFIELD

PROFESSIONAL STAFF FEES CHARGEBACK ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

PLEASE BE ADVISED

That pursuant to the Town of Delafield Code of Ordinances, the Town of Delafield Town Board has determined that whenever the services of the Town Attorney, Town Engineer or any of the other Town's professional staff results in a charge to the Town for that professional's time and services, and such service is not a service supplied to the Town as a whole, the Town Clerk shall charge that service and the fees incurred by the Town to the owner of the property. Also be advised that pursuant to the Town of Delafield Code of Ordinances certain other fees, costs and charges are the responsibility of the property owner.

I, the undersigned, have been advised that, pursuant to the Town of Delafield Code of Ordinances, if the Town Attorney, Town Engineer or any other Town professional provides services to the Town as a result of my activities, whether at my request or at the request of the Town, I shall be responsible for the fees incurred by the Town. Also, I have been advised that pursuant to the Town of Delafield Code of Ordinances, certain other fees, costs and charges are my responsibility.

Signature of Owner

Date

Owner's name (please print)

Form received by: _____

Date: _____

kat: H:\Delafield-T\Forms\Professional Fees Chargeback.docx

Plan Commission Report for August 1, 2023

US Cellular Antenna Installation Agenda Item No. 5. B.

Applicant:	US Cellular, Tower Owner, US Cellular, applicant, Gara Fluitt agent
Project:	US Cellular Tower
Requested Action:	Approval for the installation of six antennas and one GPS antenna.
Zoning:	A-2
Location:	N44 W29190 Oxford Dr.

Report

US Cellular, through their authorized agent, is requesting approval to six short panel antennas on the tower located at N44 W29190 Oxford Drive, as well as one GPS antenna near the equipment panels. Wireless communication facilities are regulated by State Statutes as well as Section 17.06 6. of the Town Code. I have determined that the proposed work will not be a substantial modification on the basis that it does not meet the definition of "substantial modification" as defined in Section 66.0404(1) (s) of the Wisconsin Statutes (not raising the tower more than 20 feet, not increasing the width by 20 feet or more at the location of the appurtenance, not increasing the area of the equipment compound by more than 2,500 square feet). I have reviewed their application and find it to be complete as required by the code.

The Town is to review this item subject to the limitations imposes in Section 66.04040 (4) of the Wisconsin Statutes. I have reviewed the application in light of the 24 limitations noted in the State Statutes and find that by approving this application as presented, we are not in violation of any of the limitations in 66.0404 (4).

Staff Recommendation:

The applicant has submitted a complete application and meets all Town requirements; therefore, I recommend that the Plan Commission approve the installation of six antennas and provide a positive recommendation for approval to the Town Board.

Tim Barbeau, Town Engineer July 25, 2023

RESOLUTION NO. 23-665

RESOLUTION OF TOWN OF DELAFIELD ACCEPTING THE ROADS IN THE WHITE OAK CONSERVANCY

WHEREAS, the Town of Delafield Board of Supervisors approved the final plat of the following subdivision: White Oak Conservancy, and;

WHEREAS, construction of public roads in the subdivision has been completed, and;

WHEREAS, the developer has satisfied all requirements of the developers agreement related to the public road construction.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Town of Delafield Board of Supervisors that the following roads which are part of the White Oak Conservancy subdivision are hereby accepted by the Town of Delafield:

Rustic Court Riemer Court Four Seasons Road

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Town Board of the Town of Delafield, Waukesha County, Wisconsin this _____ day of _____, 2023.

TOWN OF DELAFIELD

Edward Kranick, Town Chairman

ATTEST:

Dan Green, Administrator-Clerk/Treasurer